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Abstract
This research work was carried out in Owerri Educational Zone, Imo State. The researcher structured of Gender Sensitization Strategies (GSS) and investigated their benefits in enhancing gender equity among Biology Students and also the effect of these GSS on Students’ achievement in Biology in Senior Secondary Schools Two (SS2). The study was guided by two research questions and two hypotheses. Also two instruments were used; Gender sensitization strategy questionnaire (GSSQ) and Biology Achievement Test (BAT). The population of the study was 2445 students from where a sample of 120 students was selected also 9 teachers were sampled from the 3 schools selected for the study. Mean scores and standard deviations were used to answer the two research questions while t- test was used to test the hypotheses. The findings of the study among others include; that all the items listed in table 1 were accepted by respondents as benefits of Gender sensitization strategies, that the GSS enhanced students’ achievement in Biology, there was no significant difference in the achievement of Boys and Girls taught differently by male and female teachers exposed to GSS. Based on these findings it was recommended that among which is that Biology teachers should be enlightened on the benefits of gender equity in STM Education.

The free encyclopaedia (wikipedia) 1994 associated gender equality with terms like gender equity, gender egalitarianism or sexual equality. In wikipedia, world bodies define gender equality as related to human rights especially women’s rights, and economic development.

UNICEF also in Wikipedia explains gender equality as “levelling the playing field for girls and ensuring that all children have equal opportunity to develop their talents. Gender equity could also be explained as the standardization of opportunities (and resulting benefits) between males and females. It means fairness and justice in the distribution of benefits and responsibilities between women and men. It could also be explained as a situation whereby boys and girls, women and men are given equal opportunity in the utilization of personal capabilities to realize full human rights. Equity is about care and fairness thus recognizing differences and accommodates it in prevention of the continuation of unequitable status quo. Okeke (2001) defines gender as social and/or cultural construct, characteristics behaviours and roles which any society ascribes to females and males e.g. women are submissive and men are ascervative. She explained that this situation is a constant factor that has been militating against female enrolment in Science, Technology and Mathematics STM in schools and colleges. This has also matured to permanent stereotyped subjects or courses for girls and boys. Consequently boys are raised and encouraged to enrol in science technology and mathematics and they are exceptionally encouraged to perform well in them, girls are raised to be withdrawn and have negative attitude towards science, technology and mathematics and any other hard subjects. The resultant effect is that there is serious gender disparity, beyond school enrolment, subject choice etc and even in the labour market Okoli (2009).

Many researchers have identified cases of gender disparity in STM. Rufai (2009) noted that women are marginalized in science technology and mathematics. Njoku (2009) also noted gender bias in STM curriculum materials.

Presently many studies have been carried out on gender in order to unveil sources of disparity in STM curriculum materials. Wasagu and Mohammed (2007) listed some of the major causes of gender disparity in STM curriculum as follows;

- Socio–cultural factors such as traditional values and practices, cultural tradition translated into social norms, social status perception of women as sub–ordinates.
- Socio - economic factors which include; poverty, household size, parents, educational background and attitudes.
- Policy – related factors which include lack of goals and inadequate monitoring of gender equity.
- School related factors which include school location, inadequate facilities, lack of female role models and gender biased curriculum.

The existence of gender disparity has caused many nations stunted socio-economic development including Nigeria.

This situation has prompted serious global challenges in all the nations Blogger (1995). The challenge is on how to design a gender sensitive curriculum which will completely eliminate gender disparity among students in STME. The intensive wave of challenge is caused by the realization of the fact that female education is considered a powerful instrument of public action and a catalyst for economic and social change.
Many nations have realized that investing in educational opportunities for girls yields perhaps the best returns of all investment in developing countries. It is also based on this that Nigeria partnered with United Nations in adopting the millennium development goals which among others include; to promote gender equality and empower women. To eliminate gender disparity in primary and secondary education preferably by 2015 and at all levels by 2020 (Adikwu 2008). Since this goal has to be achieved, science technology and mathematics education curriculum require reformation as to remove all the traces of gender disparity from the curriculum. This is imperative because the corner stone of socio – economic and political development is science technology and mathematics education.

It is on this premise that the researcher has pre-printed gender sensitization strategies as ways of enhancing gender equity in biology as one of the key subjects in S.T.M.

Statement of the Problem

There are many instances of gender disparity in many nations of the world including Nigeria. Research studies carried out in Nigeria, have shown that more boys enroll for basic science than girls in senior secondary certificate examination conducted by WAEC (Omole 1995). Similar study was carried out by Azikwe (1992). She appraised men and women participation and achievement in science, technology and mathematics. She reported that women’s participation is globally lower than that of men. Okebukola (1993) also reported that apart from arts subjects and education, female enrolment is less than half of men. He noted that gender disparity is more pronounced in engineering, technology and environmental design and veterinary medicine.

This has resulted to a conspicuous marginalization of women, denying them the opportunity in the utilization of personal capabilities to realize full human rights. It has been globally realized that promoting gender equality is an encouragement to greater economic prosperity and sustainable development (UNICEF 2002). This assertion has sparked up global challenges on how to enhance gender equity in STM Education. Following this challenge, many research studies have been carried out on the effect of gender disparity on students’ achievement in STM Education and on the strategies to enhance gender equity. However many of the studies were not specifically on Biology and in Owerri. It is based on this premise that the researcher wants to investigate the effect of gender disparity on students’ achievement in Biology and the strategies to enhance gender equity among Biology students in Secondary Schools in Owerri Educational zone. The worry of the researcher can be stated thus; what is the effect of gender sensitization strategies on students’ achievement in Biology? Can gender sensitization strategies positively change the attitude of students and enhance their achievement in Biology?

Objective of the Study

The objectives of the study were as follows:

1. To develop gender sensitization strategies for Biology teachers
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2. To determine teachers perception on the benefits of GSS
3. To determine the effect of these strategies on student’s achievement in Biology.

Research Questions
1. What is the perception of Biology teachers on the benefits of gender sensitization strategies?
2. What is the effect of gender sensitization strategies on students’ achievement in Biology?

Hypothesis 1
There is no significant difference between the mean score achievement of students’ taught Biology by teachers exposed to gender sensitization strategies and students taught by teachers who were not exposed to GSS.

Hypothesis 2
There is no significant difference between the mean score achievement of girls and boys taught differently by male and female teachers who were exposed to gender sensitive strategies.

Research Design and Procedures
The researcher adopted quasi-experimental design as well as descriptive survey design. The quasi experimental design comprised of one experimental group and one control group.

Population
The population of the study was 2445 students which comprised of all the senior secondary biology students (SS2) in the nine public senior secondary schools in Owerri Municipal L.G.A. in the year 2009.

Sample and Sampling Technique
The schools were stratified into three categories; Boys’ Secondary School, Girls’ Secondary School and co – educational schools. One Secondary School was selected from each of these categories by balloting. The simple random sampling technique was used in selecting 120 students from the three different categories of schools. In each of the three schools used, eight students were selected from each of the five arms of SS2. This gave a total 40 students from each school. These 120 students were randomly assigned to two groups. Also two teachers, were sampled from each of the three schools making a total of 9 teachers who completed the questionnaire while six of them participated in the teaching session.

Instrument Used for Data Collection
Two instruments were used for this study; the Gender Sensitization Strategy Questionnaire (GSSQ) and Biology Achievement Test (BAT). The questionnaire was structured by the researcher while the BAT has been used in Biology Test by WAEC in
SSCE. The questionnaire comprised of 10 item – statements structured to determine Biology teachers’ perception on the benefits of gender sensitization strategies. The Biology Achievements Test (BAT); comprised of 30 multiple and completion type questions which were based on the three topics used for the study. The three topics comprised of digestive system, respiratory system and the reproductive system.

Validation of the Instrument
The questionnaire was given to experts in measurement and evaluation for necessary corrections which ensured the validity of the instrument. They screened the items for face and content validity. Through their critics, some items were expunged and some restructured, thus validity was ensured. The BAT was not subjected to any other screening for validity since it was selected from already standardized test.

Reliability of the Instrument
The GSSQ was given to respondents that were not listed for the study. After one week interval the instrument was again administered to the same group of respondents. The two different sets of scores were co–related using Pearson Product Moment Correlation. The co–relation coefficient obtained for the instrument was 0.75 which indicates a high reliability index.

Administration of the Instrument
Before the administration of the instrument the two groups of students were pretested and their scores were analyzed. After one week interval, from the end of the training programme biology teachers exposed to gender sensitization class were used to teach the treatment group while the control group was taught by the teachers who were not exposed to gender sensitization strategies. At the end of the teaching session post–test was administered to both groups. Scores were collected and analysed. The questionnaires were administered to the nine teachers by the researcher and were collected after completion.

Method of Data Analysis
Means scores and standard deviation were used to answer the two research questions while t-test was used to test the two hypotheses at 0.05 level of significance. Gender sensitization strategies are as follows;
1. Giving examples during class lessons
   Biology teachers’ in his/her examples should always involve male and female. He should always cite examples with male and female in matters demanding such examples

2. Questioning in class lesson
   The Biology teacher should balance the way he/she directs questions to students. The teacher should ask males questions in the same proportion or rate the females are asked.
3. Co-operative activities
When students are to study in groups each small group should comprise equal number of males and females. Each student should be given chance to participate or make his or her own input. Males should not dominate females in the contributions.

4. The biology teacher should rapport with females in the same way he rapportes with males.

5. When use of posters, models and pictures etc are involved, teacher should involve that of females and that of males equally. The teacher should teach students with male posters, pictures and models and also use that of female.

6. Class activities other than learning: e.g. labour (sweeping). The biology teacher should share the duties in the same proportion between male and female. Male should sweep, dust the board in the same way females do it.

7. Making use of gender selective language
The teacher should avoid using the “He” always or “She” always but to balance the way the pronouns are being used.

8. Textbooks, workbooks, journal and magazines should be written in gender sensitive pattern.

9. Laboratory activities
Every student should be encouraged and given the opportunity of participating and learning the science process skills.

10. Any unit of instruction involving mathematical operation should be learned equally. Every student should try to solve the problem.

The Training Programme for Biology Teachers
The researcher organised training programme for the biology teachers of the experimental group using the gender sensitization strategies developed. The training programme lasted for four days and was programmed as follows;

First day: Creating awareness for gender balance and gender sensitization in the classroom teaching and learning.

Second Day: Discussion on the need for gender sensitization for biology teachers and students.

Third Day: Discussion on how to write lesson note and how to teach in gender sensitive lesson.

Fourth Day: Practicing how to teach with gender sensitive lesson note on selected topics.
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Data Analysis and Presentation of Results:

**Research Question One:**
What is the perception of biology teachers on the benefits of gender sensitization strategies developed for biology teachers?

**Table one (1)**

Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of Biology Teachers’ Perception on the Benefits of Gender Sensitization Strategies Developed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What are your perceptions on the following as the benefits of gender sensitization strategies developed for biology teachers?</th>
<th>Mean (X)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Deci</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Gender sensitization strategies (GSS) could remove gender bias among biology teachers and students in biology classroom.</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. It could result to serious curriculum review and innovations.</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. It could result to development of gender balance curriculum in biology.</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Development of human and material resources could be enhanced with equal participation of gender in biology education.</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. It could encourage gender equity in key positions in public services and professions requiring the knowledge of biology.</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Gender bias which exist in pictures and charts in biology text would be discouraged.</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The sexist and language content of the curriculum would be completely discouraged.</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Educational career and employment opportunities of girls would be enhanced</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. There would be gender balance in enrolment in biology education.</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Gender sensitization strategies could enhance achievement of boys and girls in biology.</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from the commission on the status of women; “Gender Equality” (Wikipedia 1994).

The result of data analysis on table 1 shows that the mean score of all the item statements are greater than 2.50 which indicates that the respondents generally agreed on the items as the benefits of gender sensitization strategies developed for Biology teachers.

**Research Question Two:** what is the effect of gender sensitization strategies on students’ achievement in biology?
Table 2: Mean Score Achievement and Standard Deviation of Experimental and Control Group (Group 1 and 2) on the Pretest and Posttest in Gender Achievement Test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Type of test</th>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>Pretest</td>
<td>G.SS teaching strategy</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>G.SS teaching strategy</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>62.17</td>
<td>5.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>Traditional approach</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>41.96</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>Traditional approach</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>53.60</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Result in table 2 revealed that the pretest mean achievement scores are much lower than the post-test mean scores for the two groups of students. (Exp and control group). Based on this observation, both of the group made some gain in achievement in the post test the higher score of the experimental group was as a result of the treatment given to them (GSS) (62.17>53.60).

**Hypothesis (1)**

There is no significant difference between the mean score achievement of students who were taught biology by teachers exposed to gender sensitization strategies and those taught by teachers who were not exposed to gender sensitization strategies.

**Table 3:**

T-Test of No Significant Difference Between Mean Score Achievement of Students Who Were Taught by Teachers that Received the Treatment and Those Taught by Teachers Who Did Not Receive the Treatment (GSS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>T-cal</th>
<th>T-crit</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>62.16</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>53.66</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis on table three above revealed that the calculated t-value (10.00) is greater than the critical t-value (1.66) at P < 0.05. Therefore the null hypothesis was rejected for the alternative hypothesis.

**Hypothesis 2**

There is no significant difference between the mean score achievement of girls and boys taught biology differently by male and female by teachers who were exposed to GSS.


**Table 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>T-cal</th>
<th>T-crit</th>
<th>Dec at 0.05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Boys/Girls</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>61.47</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Girls/Boys</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60.80</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From table 4 above, it was observed that there is no significant difference between the mean score achievement of boys and girls who were taught differently by male and female biology teachers that received gender sensitization treatment; since t-cal (0.07) is less than t-table (2.00); the null hypothesis was not rejected.

**Discussion of Findings**

The result of this study with respect to research question one showed that respondents generally are of the opinion that gender sensitization strategies listed if used on biology teachers will enhance gender equity in biology teaching and learning hence all the items have mean scores greater than 2.50. (See table 1). This is in line with the work of Njoku (2009). He listed strategies for meeting school based challenges in gender and STM Education. These gender strategies also agree with what Nworgu (2005) listed in his study on gender. The findings are also in line with gender sensitization strategies listed by the commission on the status of women at its 34th session, 1995. The data analysis in respect to research question 2 revealed that the mean score achievement of the students taught by biology teachers who were exposed to gender sensitization strategies (experimental group) was better than that of the students taught by biology teachers who were not exposed to gender sensitization strategies (Control group, see table 2); hence the mean score achievement of the experimental group (62.16) is greater than that of control group (53.66).

The finding supports the assertion made by the commission on the status of women at its 34th session, 1995. The commission asserts that gender sensitive approach should be emphasized in the teaching processes in order to give boys and girls equal opportunities in education. The commission suggests that gender equity could be enhanced through gender – sensitive training courses for teachers and development to teaching materials etc.

The result of this study is also in line with the work of Nworgu who studied gender equity in integrated science. It means that the way curriculum is interpreted by teachers and the way they select their instructional strategies and materials have crucial influence on students’ achievement in biology teaching and learning.

The result of data analysis in table 3 showed that the calculated t value (10.00) is greater than the critical t – value (1.66) at P<0.05. Hence the null hypothesis was not rejected. The implication is that there is significant difference between the mean score achievement of students who were taught by teachers that received the gender sensitization treatment and those taught by teachers who did not receive the treatment.
(See table 3) the finding of this study is in line with the work of the following people; Nworgu (2005), Asoegwu (2008), Njoku (2009) they studied gender discrimination in science classroom and discovered that gender sensitization strategies could be used to enhance gender equity in science classroom. This strategy was also suggested by the commission on the status of women at its 34th session in 1995.

With respect to research hypothesis two, the result of the study revealed that male and female students who were taught differently by male and female biology teachers exposed to gender sensitization strategies performed equally well. There was no significant difference in their mean score achievement hence t – calculated (0.07) is less than table -t (2.00) the null hypothesis was not rejected. The finding supports the assertion by Blogger (1995) that teachers provide role models and a sense of direction and encouragement to both boys and girls. He further stated that a gender sensitive curriculum will completely eliminate gender disparity among students in biology classroom.

Conclusion

The study investigated effective gender sensitization strategies and their benefits in enhancing gender equity in biology classroom. The result of the study revealed that the respondents generally agreed on the benefits of gender sensitization strategies listed in table one. It was also noted that gender sensitization strategies have positive effect on students’ achievement. The result of the study also revealed that male and female students’ who were taught differently by male and female biology teachers exposed to gender sensitization strategies performed equally well. There was no significant difference observed in their performances.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following recommendations were made

- Biology teachers should be enlightened on the need for gender equity in biology education.
- They should also be exposed and trained on various gender sensitization strategies through workshops, seminars and conferences. Biology teachers should be encouraged to adopt and practice gender sensitization strategies enumerated in this study in biology teaching and learning.
- Curriculum planners and designers should also try to develop curriculum materials that are gender friendly e.g. in production of models, posters, charts etc.
- Textbook inters on biology should also be enlightened on the importance of encouraging and practicing gender equity in biology textbooks e.g. in the use of pronouns, pictures, diagrams, examples and charts etc.
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